York County Transit Forum

Session Name

11-1-2018 3-52 PM

Date Created

11/1/2018 3:08:21 PM

Active Participants

Total Participants

41

Questions

Average Score 0.00% 31

Results by Question

1. Priority 1: Replacing aging fleet (Multiple Choice)

Very Important Somewhat Important Little Importance No opinion **Totals**

Responses	
Percent	Count
48.72%	19
35.90%	14
15.38%	6
0.00%	0
100%	39

2. Priority 2: Investment in Electric Buses, Trolleys, and Charging Stations (Multiple Choice)

Yes

No Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
67.65%	23
32.35%	11
100%	34

3. Priority 3: Electronic Fare Collection Systems (Multiple Choice)

Very Important Somewhat Important Little Importance No opinion

Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
38.89%	14
22.22%	8
33.33%	12
5.56%	2
100%	36

4. Priority 4: Cameras on buses to increase security (Multiple Choice)

Responses	
Percent	Count
41.67%	15
22.22%	8
27.78%	10
8.33%	3

100% 36

5. Priority 5: Bike Racks on Buses/Trains (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
63.89%	23
27.78%	10
8.33%	3
0.00%	0
100%	36

6. Priority 6: Improvements to Wells Train Station to increase # of round trips to Boston (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
44.44%	16
22.22%	8
19.44%	7
13.89%	5
100%	36

7. Priority 7: On-Line Trip Planner (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
43.24%	16
21.62%	8
32.43%	12
2.70%	1
100%	37

8. Priority 8: Real-Time Vehicle Locator (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
38.89%	14
36.11%	13
22.22%	8
2.78%	1
100%	36

9. Priority 9: Mobility Navigators available by phone for one-on-one assistance (Multiple Choice)

Responses

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Percent	Count
48.65%	18
21.62%	8
27.03%	10
2.70%	1
100%	37

10. Priority 10: Travel Training: How to ride the bus? (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
16.67%	6
25.00%	9
44.44%	16
13.89%	5
100%	36

11. Priority 11: Increased Marketing Efforts (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
64.71%	22
17.65%	6
14.71%	5
2.94%	1
100%	34

12. Priority 12: Expanded service between Sanford and Wells (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
29.41%	10
29.41%	10
17.65%	6
23.53%	8
100%	34

13. Priority 13: Expanded service between Wells and Biddeford/Saco (Multiple Choice)

	Responses
Percent	Count
55.56%	20
19.44%	7
8.33%	3
16.67%	6

100% 36

14. Priority 14: Wells to Portland Commuter Run on the Downeaster (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
54.29%	19
25.71%	9
17.14%	6
2.86%	1
100%	35

15. Priority 15: Biddeford/Saco/Portland Zoom Commuter Bus (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
52.94%	18
14.71%	5
20.59%	7
11.76%	4
100%	34

16. Priority 16: Biddeford/Saco/Scarborough/Portland General Purpose Route (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
51.43%	18
17.14%	6
14.29%	5
17.14%	6
100%	35

17. Priority 17: Service between Wells and Kennebunk (Multiple Choice)

Responses	
Percent	Count
54.29%	19
22.86%	8
14.29%	5
8.57%	3
100%	35

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Percent	Count
81.25%	26
15.63%	5
3.13%	1
0.00%	0
100%	32

19. Priority 19: Medical Providers consider transit needs/share rides in scheduling (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
91.89%	34
8.11%	3
0.00%	0
0.00%	0
100%	37

20. Priority 20:Employer sponsored commuter runs (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
63.16%	24
18.42%	7
13.16%	5
5.26%	2
100%	38

21. Priority 21: Design walkable and transit-friendly neighborhoods/developments (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
75.68%	28
13.51%	5
10.81%	4
0.00%	0
100%	37

22. Priority 22: Support Grassroots Volunteer networks to fill gaps (Multiple Choice)

	Responses
Percent	Count
44.74%	17
18.42%	7
28.95%	11
7.89%	3

100% 38

23. Priority 23: Transit Providers utilize micro-transit services for improved connectivity (Uber/Lyft) (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
48.65%	18
29.73%	11
21.62%	8
0.00%	0
100%	37

24. Priority 24: Recruit drivers as agency or other volunteers (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses	
Percent	Count
42.86%	15
22.86%	8
22.86%	8
11.43%	4
100%	35

25. Priority 25: Explore car share programs (like Zip Car) (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
29.73%	11						
35.14%	13						
29.73%	11						
5.41%	2						
100%	37						

26. Priority 26: Explore Bike Share Programs (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
31.58%	12						
28.95%	11						
34.21%	13						
5.26%	2						
100%	38						

27. Priority 27: Pursue Federal Discretionary Grants for Transit (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Percent	Count
97.44%	38
0.00%	0
2.56%	1
0.00%	0
100%	39

28. Priority 28: Increase State Support for Transit (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
94.44%	34						
2.78%	1						
2.78%	1						
0.00%	0						
100%	36						

29. Priority 29: Increase Municipal/County Support for Transit (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
78.38%	29						
10.81%	4						
10.81%	4						
0.00%	0						
100%	37						

30. Priority 30: Expand Transit Angel, Veteran, and other Donation Programs (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses						
Percent	Count					
72.97%	27					
10.81%	4					
5.41%	2					
10.81%	4					
100%	37					

31. Priority 31: More Rural Service (Multiple Choice)

Responses							
Percent	Count						
62.96%	17						
14.81%	4						
11.11%	3						
11.11%	3						

100% 27

31-2. Priority 31-2: More Rural Service-2 (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
62.96%	17						
18.52%	5						
14.81%	4						
3.70%	1						
100%	27						

32. Priority 32: Rail Services (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
53.33%	16						
20.00%	6						
26.67%	8						
0.00%	0						
100%	30						

33. Priority 33: Kennebunk Train Platform (Multiple Choice)

Very Important
Somewhat Important
Little Importance
No opinion
Totals

Responses							
Percent	Count						
50.00%	16						
18.75%	6						
28.13%	9						
3.13%	1						
100%	32						

33-2. Priority 33-2: Sustainable Micro Transit (Multiple Choice)

Responses								
Percent	Count							
48.39%	15							
41.94%	13							
6.45%	2							
3.23%	1							
100%	31							

Session Name	Western Maine Transit Forum 11/15/2018			Northern Maine Transit Forum 11/19/2018		Piscatiquis County Transit Forum 11/29/2018		aine Transit Forum 1/30/2018	Greater Ba		Totals	
	Active Participants	Total Participants	Active Participants	Total Participants	Active Participants 29	Total Participants	Active Participants 25	Total Participants	Active Participants 20	Total Participants		
Results by Question 1. Test Question: Favorite Dessert (Priority Ranking)	13	13	13	13	2.9	25	23	20	20	20		
1. Test Question. Tavortie Dessett (Friority Kanking)	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	Re	esponses	Combin	ned Res
	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weig
Blueberry Pie	36.11%	26	26.53%	52	19.42%	94	22.12%	96	23.23%	72	127.41%	1
Pumpkin Pie Bread Pudding	22.22%	16 2	23.47%	46 12	17.77% 16.94%	86 82	15.21% 22.12%	66	18.06% 5.16%	56 16	96.73% 53.12%	+
Cheesecake	36.11%	26	6.12% 26.53%	52	21.90%	106	29.49%	96 128	24.52%	76	138.55%	-
Brownies	2.78%	2	17.35%	34	23.97%	116	11.06%	48	29.03%	90	84.18%	+
Totals	100%	72	100%	196	100%	484	100%	434	100%	310	500.00%	
2. Strategy 1: Rider Information Systems (Priority Ranking)												
2. Strategy 1. Rider information systems (Priority Ranking)	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	Re	esponses	Combin	ned Res
	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Wei
On-line trip planner	40.63%	104	6.00%	12	24.79%	118	12.86%	54	37.74%	120	122.01%	
Mobility Navigator	17.19%	44	31.00%	62	34.87%	166	34.29%	144	16.35%	52	133.70%	
Travel Training	13.28%	34	13.00%	26	7.14%	34	9.52%	40	15.09%	48	58.04%	+
Enhanced Publicity of existing services Other	22.66% 6.25%	58 16	50.00% 0.00%	100	33.19% 0.00%	158 0	42.86% 0.48%	180	24.53% 6.29%	78 20	173.23% 13.02%	1
Totals	100%	256	100%	200	100%	476	100%	420	100%	318	500.00%	
3. Strategy 2: Transit Provider Infrastructure (Priority Ranking)												
o. on accept 2. Transit Frovider initiastructure (Friority Kanking)		esponses		esponses		esponses		esponses		esponses	Combin	
Building	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weig
Replacing aging buses Electronic fare collection systems	35.82% 23.13%	96 62	42.59% 26.85%	92 58	38.36% 24.57%	178 114	31.40% 24.64%	130 102	40.13% 16.56%	126 52	188.30% 115.75%	+
Real-time vehicle locator	22.39%	60	13.89%	30	35.34%	164	21.74%	90	27.39%	86	120.75%	+-
Bike Transport	3.73%	10	4.63%	10	1.29%	6	5.80%	24	4.46%	14	19.91%	+-
Shelters	10.45%	28	8.33%	18	0.43%	2	16.43%	68	6.37%	20	42.01%	1
Accessible Vehicles	4.48%	12	3.70%	8					5.10%	16	13.28%	
Totals	100%	268	100%	216	100%	464	100%	414	100%	314	500.00%	
4. Strategy 3: Last Mile Options (Priority Ranking)												
		esponses		esponses		esponses		esponses		esponses	Combin	ned Res
0	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count 88	Percent 28.86%	Weighted Count	Percent 38.32%	Weighted Count	Percent 22.50%	Weighted Count	Percent 165.35%	Weig
Support volunteer networks Recruit agency and volunteer drivers	38.06% 31.34%	102 84	37.61% 24.79%	58	30.49%	142 150	31.78%	164 136	29.38%	72 94	147.77%	+
Transit providers use micro-transit for last mile	17.16%	46	23.08%	54	23.17%	114	17.29%	74	23.13%	74	103.83%	1
Encourage Uber/Lyft	8.96%	24	14.53%	34	17.48%	86	11.21%	48	17.50%	56	69.68%	
Shared Taxis	4.48%	12	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1.40%	6	7.50%	24	13.38%	
Totals	100%	268	100%	234	100%	492	100%	428	100%	320	500.00%	
5. Strategy 4: Partnerships (Priority Ranking)				esponses		esponses		esponses	D		Combin	ned Res
	Percent	esponses Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	esponses Weighted Count	Percent	Weig
Mobility Network	33.33%	90	28.83%	64	31.69%	154	26.67%	112	29.75%	94	150.26%	
Medical Providers consider transit	22.22%	60	16.22%	36	23.46%	114	21.90%	92	16.46%	52	100.26%	
Employer-sponsored commuter runs	23.70%	64	18.02%	40	27.98%	136	26.67%	112	20.89%	66	117.26%	
Walkable/Transit- friendly neighborhoods	20.74%	56	9.01%	20	16.87%	82	11.43%	48	32.28%	102	90.33%	↓
Other Totals	0.00% 100%	0 270	27.93% 100%	62 222	0.00% 100%	0 486	13.33% 100%	56 420	0.63% 100%	2 316	41.89% 500.00%	
				-								
6. Strategy 5: Funding (Priority Ranking)	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	R	esponses	Re	esponses	Combin	ned Res
	Percent	_	Percent		Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	
Pursue Federal discretionary grants	21.64%	58	50.44%	114	34.94%	174	33.81%	142	38.65%	126	179.48%	
Increase state support for transit	43.28%	116	28.32%	64	37.35%	186	42.38%	178	39.26%	128	190.60%	
Increase municipal/ county support for transit	25.37%	68	18.58%	42	23.29%	116	18.57%	78	11.04%	36	96.86%	+
Grow Donations Other	9.70%	26 0	2.65% 0.00%	6	4.42% 0.00%	22 0	2.86%	12 10	4.91% 6.13%	16 20	24.54% 8.52%	+
Totals	100%	268	100%	226	100%	498	100%	420	100%	326	500.00%	
7. Summary (Priority Ranking)					Balanca	estion; no voting done						
1. Summary (Priority Ranking)	R	esponses	R	esponses		estion; no voting done esponses	R	esponses	Re	esponses	Combin	ned Res
	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weighted Count	Percent	Weig
Rider Information Systems	17.56%	46	15.52%	36			7.07%	28	20.96%	70	61.10%	
Transit Provider Infrastructure	20.61%	54	11.21%	26			16.16%	64	28.74%	96	76.72%	4
		44	11.21%	26	1		16.67%	66	10.18%	34	54.85%	
Last Mile and Local Rides	16.79%				-			00	7 700/	20	7C F 40/	
Partnerships	10.69%	28	35.34%	82			22.73%	90	7.78%	26 64	76.54%	+
								90 144 4	7.78% 19.16% 13.17%	26 64 44	76.54% 116.60% 214.18%	