

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction.....	1
Overview of Work Conducted .....	2
Recommendations.....	3
<b>Chapter 1: Study Overview .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
Introduction.....	1-1
Study Team and Process.....	1-2
Study Purpose and Needs .....	1-5
Public Outreach .....	1-6
<b>Chapter 2: Study Context.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
Chapter Overview.....	2-1
Study Area Background .....	2-1
Population and Employment.....	2-2
Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	2-6
Natural Resources .....	2-18
<b>Chapter 3: Highways.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
Chapter Overview.....	3-1
The Regional Highway Network .....	3-2
Considering Regional Highway System Expansion .....	3-27
Recommendations – Improving the Current Highway System .....	3-41



**Chapter 4: Land Use and Access Management.....4-1**

Role of Land Use and Access Management in Managing the Transportation System..... 4-1

Land Use and Access Management Techniques ..... 4-4

Application of Access Management Strategies ..... 4-13

**Chapter 5: Public Transportation and Travel Demand Management.....5-1**

Background..... 5-1

Existing Conditions ..... 5-1

Transit and TDM Enhancement Opportunities ..... 5-14

Conclusions..... 5-16

**Addendum:**

- City of Sanford Substantive Comments to the Central York County Connections Study
- Comment from the CYCC Final Draft Report – Route 224 Alternative
- SMPDC Comments on the CYCCS
- MaineDOT Responses to Public Comments Received for the Draft Final Report
- Summary of Report Recommendations, Priorities, Applicable MaineDOT Projects & MaineDOT Comments
- MaineDOT Recently-Funded Projects in the CYCCS Area



## Appendices

A: Public Outreach

B: Historical Resources Technical Memo

C: Natural Resources Technical Memo

D: Review of Prior Transportation Plans and Studies

E: Population and Employment Forecasts

F: Phase II Evaluation Summary

G: Travel Forecasting and Traffic Analysis

H: Economic Analysis

I: SMRPC Route 202 Evaluation

*Note: Appendices are in PDF format and located on a CD attached to the inside back cover of this report*



## FIGURES

FIGURE ES-1-1:	CYCCS STUDY AREA .....	1
FIGURE 1-1:	CYCCS STUDY AREA .....	1-1
FIGURE 1-2:	CYCCS STUDY WEBSITE .....	1-9
FIGURE 2-1:	LOCATION OF CYCCS STUDY AREA IN MAINE .....	2-1
FIGURE 2-2:	YORK COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES (HISTORICAL AND FORECAST), 1970 – 2035.....	2-2
FIGURE 2-3:	HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED ANNUAL POPULATION CHANGE BY COMPONENT .....	2-3
FIGURE 2-4:	CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLDS (2010 TO 2035) BY TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ZONE (TAZ).....	2-5
FIGURE 2-5:	CYCCS STUDY AREA .....	2-8
FIGURE 2-6:	HISTORIC RESOURCES DOCUMENTED WITHIN STUDY AREA .....	2-10
FIGURE 2-7:	ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES WITHIN STUDY AREA.....	2-11
FIGURE 2-8:	OVERVIEW OF WETLANDS AND HYDRIC SOILS IN THE STUDY AREA .....	2-21
FIGURE 2-9:	OVERVIEW OF REGULATED AND OTHERWISE PROTECTED RESOURCES IN THE STUDY AREA .....	2-24
FIGURE 2-10:	OVERVIEW OF OTHER RESOURCES IN THE STUDY AREA .....	2-28
FIGURE 2-11:	CONSERVATION AREAS IN THE STUDY AREA .....	2-29
FIGURE 2-12:	UNDEVELOPED HABITAT AND FOREST BLOCKS .....	2-32
FIGURE 3-1:	CYCCS STUDY AREA AND HIGHWAY NETWORK.....	3-2
FIGURE 3-2:	ROUTE 111/202 CORRIDOR .....	3-3
FIGURE 3-3:	CYCCS FUNCTIONAL STREET CLASSIFICATION .....	3-4
FIGURE 3-4:	ROUTE 111 TYPICAL RURAL SEGMENT.....	3-4
FIGURE 3-5:	ROUTE 111 ENTERING BIDDEFORD (LOOKING EAST).....	3-4
FIGURE 3-6:	CYCCS SPEED LIMITS.....	3-5
FIGURE 3-7:	ROUTE 109 CORRIDOR.....	3-6
FIGURE 3-8:	ROUTE 109 IN DOWNTOWN SANFORD.....	3-6
FIGURE 3-9:	RECENTLY IMPROVED SECTION OF ROUTE 109 IN WELLS .....	3-6
FIGURE 3-10:	ROUTE 4/202 CORRIDOR .....	3-7
FIGURE 3-11:	ROUTE 202 IN ALFRED VILLAGE CENTER .....	3-7
FIGURE 3-12:	ROUTE 9 CORRIDOR.....	3-8
FIGURE 3-13:	ROUTE 9 CONNECTING NORTH BERWICK AND WELLS.....	3-8
FIGURE 3-14:	ROUTE 99 CORRIDOR .....	3-9



FIGURE 3-15: EXISTING ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES ..... 3-10

FIGURE 3-16: DIRECTIONAL PM PEAK HOUR VOLUMES ..... 3-11

FIGURE 3-17: SEASONAL VARIATION IN DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES AT MAINE TURNPIKE INTERCHANGES..... 3-12

FIGURE 3-18: SEASONAL VARIATION IN DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES ON ROUTE 109 IN SANFORD ..... 3-13

FIGURE 3-19: PROJECTED CHANGE IN DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES (2010 TO 2035) ..... 3-14

FIGURE 3-20: EXISTING PM PEAK LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) ..... 3-15

FIGURE 3-21: PROJECTED 2035 PM PEAK LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) ..... 3-16

FIGURE 3-22: TRAFFIC ANALYSIS INTERSECTIONS ..... 3-17

FIGURE 3-23: CRASH RATES FOR CYCCS HIGHWAYS (2008-2010)..... 3-21

FIGURE 3-24: CRASH CRITICAL RATE FACTORS FOR CYCCS HIGHWAYS (2008-2010)..... 3-21

FIGURE 3-25: HIGH CRASH LOCATIONS (2008-2010) ..... 3-25

FIGURE 3-26: HIGH CRASH LOCATIONS – SANFORD (2008-2010) ..... 3-25

FIGURE 3-27: HIGH CRASH LOCATIONS – BIDDEFORD (2008-2010) ..... 3-26

FIGURE 3-28: BIDDEFORD CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY STRATEGIES B-1 AND B-2 ..... 3-29

FIGURE 3-29: BIDDEFORD CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY STRATEGIES B-3 AND B-4 ..... 3-30

FIGURE 3-30: BIDDEFORD CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY STRATEGIES B-5 AND B-6 ..... 3-31

FIGURE 3-31: KENNEBUNK/WELLS CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY STRATEGIES K-1 AND K-2..... 3-33

FIGURE 3-32: KENNEBUNK/WELLS AND NORTH BERWICK CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY ALTERNATIVES K-3 AND NB-1 ..... 3-34

FIGURE 3-33: NORTH BERWICK/OGUNQUIT CONCEPTUAL HIGHWAY ALTERNATIVES ..... 3-35

FIGURE 3-34: PHASE II EVALUATION RESULTS ..... 3-37

FIGURE 3-35: PHASE II BENEFIT-COST DETAILS ..... 3-38

FIGURE 3-36: LOCATION MAP FOR ROUTE 111/202 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 3-44

FIGURE 3-37: ROUTE 111 TRAFFIC SIGNALS NEAR THE EXIT 32 INTERCHANGE ..... 3-47

FIGURE 3-38: EASTBOUND ROUTE 111 SIGNAGE CONCEPT PLAN ..... 3-48

FIGURE 3-39: SHOULDER RUMBLE STRIP PLACEMENT ..... 3-53

FIGURE 3-40: CURRENT U-TURN ON ROUTE 111 IN LYMAN ..... 3-54

FIGURE 3-41: RECOMMENDED U-TURN CONCEPT ..... 3-55

FIGURE 3-42: CURRENT KENNEBUNK POND INTERSECTION WITH ROUTE 111 ..... 3-56

FIGURE 3-43: RECOMMENDED KENNEBUNK POND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS ..... 3-57

FIGURE 3-44: POSITIVE OFFSET LEFT TURN LANE (RELATIVE TO OTHER CONFIGURATIONS)..... 3-59

FIGURE 3-45: LOOKING WEST ON ROUTE 202 BETWEEN JUNE STREET AND RIVER STREET..... 3-60

FIGURE 3-46: ROUTE 202 APPROACHING RIVER STREET (LOOKING WEST) ..... 3-62

FIGURE 3-47: RECOMMENDED INTERSECTION WIDENING TO PROVIDE LEFT TURN POCKETS ON ROUTE 202 AT RIVER STREET. .... 3-63




FIGURE 3-48:	ROUTE 202 APPROACHING ROUTE 109 (LOOKING EAST).....	3-64
FIGURE 3-49:	RECOMMENDED INTERSECTION WIDENING TO PROVIDE EASTBOUND LEFT TURN POCKET ON ROUTE 202 AT ROUTE 109.....	3-65
FIGURE 3-50:	MUTCD W8-5 WITH W8-5AP.....	3-66
FIGURE 3-51:	PARTIAL EXIT 32 CONNECTION (SOUTHBOUND OFF ONLY).....	3-67
FIGURE 3-52:	FULL EXIT 32 CONNECTION (SOUTHBOUND OFF, NORTHBOUND AND SOUTHBOUND ON).....	3-67
FIGURE 3-53:	LOCATION MAP FOR ROUTE 109 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-70
FIGURE 3-54:	RECOMMENDED ROUTE 109 & EXIT 19 IMPROVEMENTS.....	3-71
FIGURE 3-55:	RECOMMENDED ROUTE 109 & EXIT 19 IMPROVEMENTS.....	3-73
FIGURE 3-56:	LOCATION MAP FOR ROUTE 4 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-77
FIGURE 3-57:	LOCATION MAP FOR HIGHWAY OTHER CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-80
FIGURE 3-58:	NEW ROUTE CONNECTING ROUTE 99 AND ROUTE 35.....	3-81
FIGURE 3-59:	RECOMMENDED PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENT COMPONENTS NEAR CARL J. LAMB ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.....	3-89
FIGURE 3-60:	POTENTIAL NEW LOCAL CONNECTING ROADWAYS IN BIDDEFORD AND ARUNDEL.....	3-90
FIGURE 3-61:	POTENTIAL NEW LOCAL CONNECTING ROADWAYS IN SANFORD.....	3-91
FIGURE 3-62:	SECTIONS OF ROUTE 109 IN SANFORD RECOMMENDED FOR PLANNED BUILD-OUT.....	3-93
FIGURE 4-1:	BALANCE OF MOBILITY AND ACCESS EMPHASIS FOR VARIOUS CLASSIFICATIONS OF ROADWAYS.....	4-3
FIGURE 4-2:	POTENTIAL CONFLICTS AT RIGHT-TURN ONLY INTERSECTIONS COMPARED TO INTERSECTIONS WHERE LEFT-TURNS ARE ALLOWED.....	4-3
FIGURE 4-3:	SHARE OF INTERSECTION CRASHES INVOLVING LEFT TURNS.....	4-3
FIGURE 4-4:	TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS.....	4-6
FIGURE 4-5:	COVERED BICYCLE PARKING.....	4-6
FIGURE 4-6:	GENERALIZED ZONING.....	4-7
FIGURE 4-7:	EXAMPLE OF ACCESS FROM STREETS OTHER THAN THE ABUTTING HIGHWAY.....	4-8
FIGURE 4-8:	EXAMPLE OF WIDER FRONTAGES REQUIRED ON THE ABUTTING HIGHWAY.....	4-8
FIGURE 4-9:	SANFORD'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN INCLUDES IDENTIFICATION OF FUTURE MAJOR CORRIDORS.....	4-9
FIGURE 4-10:	INTERCONNECTED PARKING LOTS IN SACO, MAINE.....	4-10
FIGURE 4-11:	EXAMPLE OF INTERCONNECTED PARKING LOTS AND REAR LOT ACCESS.....	4-11
FIGURE 4-12:	EXAMPLE OF EXTENDING SUBDIVISION STREETS.....	4-11
FIGURE 4-13:	EXAMPLE OF SHARED ACCESS DRIVEWAYS.....	4-12
FIGURE 4-14:	ALFRED ROUTE 111/202 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS.....	4-18
FIGURE 4-15:	ALFRED ROUTE 4/202 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS.....	4-19
FIGURE 4-16:	ARUNDEL ROUTE 111 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS.....	4-22
FIGURE 4-17:	BIDDEFORD ROUTE 111 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS.....	4-25
FIGURE 4-18:	LYMAN ROUTE 111 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS.....	4-29



FIGURE 4-19: SANFORD ROUTE 109 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS ..... 4-33

FIGURE 4-20: SANFORD ROUTE 202 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS ..... 4-34

FIGURE 4-21: SANFORD ROUTE 202/4 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS ..... 4-35

FIGURE 4-22: WELLS ROUTE 109 CORRIDOR SEGMENTS ..... 4-38

FIGURE 5-1: PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION IN THE CYCCS STUDY AREA ..... 5-3

FIGURE 5-2: COMMUTE TRIPS ORIGINATING IN THE GREATER SANFORD AREA ..... 5-7

FIGURE 5-3: REVERSE COMMUTE – TRIPS DESTINED TO SANFORD ..... 5-7

FIGURE 5-4: COMMUTE TRIPS ORIGINATING IN WELLS AND KENNEBUNK ..... 5-12

## TABLES

TABLE ES 1-1: SUMMARY OF HIGHWAY RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 5

TABLE 2-1: POPULATION SUMMARY FOR CYCCS COMMUNITIES ..... 2-4

TABLE 2-2: YORK COUNTY FORECAST CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR, 2010–2035 ..... 2-5

TABLE 2-3: EMPLOYMENT SUMMARY FOR CYCCS COMMUNITIES ..... 2-6

TABLE 2-4: NATIONAL REGISTER LISTED OR IDENTIFIED ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES IN THE CYCCS STUDY AREA ..... 2-10

TABLE 2-5: IDENTIFIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES IN THE CYCCS STUDY AREA ..... 2-11

TABLE 2-6: GREAT PONDS WITHIN THE STUDY AREA ..... 2-22

TABLE 2-7: RARE, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES WITHIN STUDY AREA (BEGINNING WITH HABITAT) ..... 2-25

TABLE 2-8: LIST OF ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT SPECIES WITHIN STUDY AREA TIDALLY INFLUENCED AREAS ..... 2-26

TABLE 2-9: WATERSHEDS AND LAKES MOST AT RISK AND NONPOINT SOURCE PRIORITY WATERSHEDS ..... 2-30

TABLE 2-10: SECTION 6(F) PROPERTIES ..... 2-31

TABLE 3-1: ROUTE 111/202 EXISTING DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME BY SEGMENT ..... 3-10

TABLE 3-2: ROUTE 109 EXISTING DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME BY SEGMENT ..... 3-11

TABLE 3-3: ROUTE 4/202 EXISTING DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME BY SEGMENT ..... 3-12

TABLE 3-4: MODELED VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) ..... 3-13

TABLE 3-5: LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) – RURAL HIGHWAY SEGMENTS (PM PEAK) ..... 3-18

TABLE 3-6: INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) ..... 3-19

TABLE 3-7: SHARE OF CRASHES BY TYPE (2008-10) ..... 3-22

TABLE 3-8: HIGH CRASH LOCATION (HCL) SEGMENTS (2008-2010) ..... 3-23

TABLE 3-9: HIGH CRASH LOCATION (HCL) INTERSECTIONS (2008–2010) ..... 3-24

TABLE 3-10: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS (MOEs) ..... 3-36



TABLE 3-11:	CYCCS RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-43
TABLE 3-12:	ROUTE 111/202 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS .....	3-45
TABLE 3-13:	ROUTE 109 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-70
TABLE 3-14:	ROUTE 109 TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPGRADE PRIORITIES – SANFORD .....	3-75
TABLE 3-15:	ROUTE 4 CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-77
TABLE 3-16:	OTHER HIGHWAY CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS .....	3-80
TABLE 4-1:	MAINEDOT MINIMUM DRIVEWAY SPACING STANDARDS .....	4-12
TABLE 4-2:	ALFRED – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX .....	4-16
TABLE 4-3:	ARUNDEL – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX.....	4-21
TABLE 4-4:	BIDDEFORD – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX .....	4-24
TABLE 4-5:	LYMAN – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX.....	4-27
TABLE 4-6:	SANFORD – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX.....	4-31
TABLE 4-7:	WELLS – LAND USE AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICABILITY MATRIX .....	4-37
TABLE 5-1:	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION IN THE CYCSS STUDY AREA.....	5-2
TABLE 5-2:	SANFORD – PORTLAND CURRENT BUS SERVICE OPTIONS (COMMUTE PERIODS) .....	5-8
TABLE 5-3:	PUBLIC PARK AND RIDE LOTS IN CYCCS STUDY AREA.....	5-13

