



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
16 STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA, MAINE
04333-0016

JOHN ELIAS BALDACCI
GOVERNOR

DAVID A. COLE
COMMISSIONER

February 8, 2008
Subject: Towns of **Sidney and Oakland**
and the City of Waterville
Project No. IM-1592(800)E
Pin No.015928.00
Amendment No. 1

Dear Sir/Ms:

Please make the following change to the Bid Documents:

Remove the existing Davis – Bacon Wage Rates, General Decision Number: ME070009 06/15/2007 ME9 (pages 43 – 45) in the Bid Book, and replace with the attached General Decision Number: ME080009 02/08/2008 ME9.

The following questions have been received:

Question: Are there any weight restrictions for haul trucks if they enter I-95 inside the closure?

Answer: Yes, by law.

Question: Does the RCP replacement during the “in stream” work, which is not allowed until 15 July, affect the incentive/disincentive section 108.9.4, for completion by 27 June 2008? Is it considered physical work completed?

Answer: In stream work will not affect the incentive/disincentive clause.

Question: Section 403, Special Provisions, mentions a ¾” HMA overlay for crossovers. Are any crossovers included as part of the bid and if so which ones?

Answer: All crossovers in the area specified in the contract.



PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Consider this change and information prior to submitting your bid on **February 20, 2008**.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott Bickford".

Scott Bickford
Contracts & Specifications Engineer

General Decision Number: ME080009 02/08/2008 ME9

Superseded General Decision Number: ME20070009

State: Maine

Construction Type: Highway

Counties: Aroostook, Franklin, Hancock, Kennebec, Knox, Lincoln, Oxford, Piscataquis, Sagadahoc, Somerset, Waldo and York Counties in Maine.

HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS excluding major bridging (for example: bascule, suspension and spandrel arch bridges; those bridging waters presently navigating or to be navigatable; and those involving marine construction in any degree); tunnels, building structures in rest area projects and railroad construction.

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	02/08/2008

* ENGI0004-015 04/01/2007

	Rates	Fringes
Power equipment operators:		
Pavers.....	\$ 17.58	8.30
Rollers.....	\$ 17.58	8.30

SUME2000-008 10/24/2000

	Rates	Fringes
Carpenter.....	\$ 11.60	1.51
Ironworkers:		
Structural.....	\$ 12.03	1.58
Laborers:		
Drillers.....	\$ 10.00	2.50
Flaggers.....	\$ 6.00	
Guardrail Installers.....	\$ 7.92	
Landscape.....	\$ 7.87	.16
Line Stripper.....	\$ 8.69	.23
Pipelayers.....	\$ 9.21	2.31
Rakers.....	\$ 9.00	1.51
Sign Erectors.....	\$ 10.00	
Unskilled.....	\$ 8.66	1.38
Wheelman.....	\$ 8.50	.43
Power equipment operators:		
Backhoes.....	\$ 11.87	2.05
Bulldozers.....	\$ 12.33	2.88
Cranes.....	\$ 14.06	1.75
Excavators.....	\$ 12.38	2.48
Graders.....	\$ 13.06	3.73
Loaders.....	\$ 11.41	2.87

Mechanics.....	\$ 13.18	2.57
Truck drivers:		
Dump.....	\$ 9.35	3.10
Tri axle.....	\$ 8.70	1.18
Two axle.....	\$ 8.56	2.19

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

In the listing above, the "SU" designation means that rates listed under the identifier do not reflect collectively bargained wage and fringe benefit rates. Other designations indicate unions whose rates have been determined to be prevailing.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION