TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 – General

Chapter 2 – Preliminary Design

Chapter 3 - Loads

Chapter 4 – Superstructures

Chapter 5 – Substructures

Chapter 6 – Concrete

Chapter 7 – Steel

Chapter 8 – Buried Structures

Chapter 9 – Timber/Engineered Wood Composites

Chapter 10 – Rehabilitation

Appendix A – Bridge Nomenclature

Appendix B - PDR Forms

Appendix C – Hydrology/Hydraulics

Appendix D – Standard Notes

Bridge Information Form

Project	
PIN	Bridge Number
Location	
Bridge Name	
Project Manager	
Lead Designer	
Lead Technician	
Design Code	
□ LRFD □ LFD	☐ Other (explain)
Bridge Parameters	
Nur	nber of Spans
Multiple Span	Configuration
Numbe	r of Sidewalks
Bridge Length (CL Brg Abut to	CL Brg Abut)
Buried Structure Total Span Length (use	e clear spans)FT
	Skew°
Bridge Width (Fas	cia-to-Fascia)FT
Roadway Width (Curb-to-Curb o	or Rail-to-Rail)FT
Buried Structure	Barrel Length FT
<u>t</u>	Beam Spacing FI
S	lab ThicknessIN
Approach Length (inc. buried structure, bu	ut exc. bridge)FT
Scope	Work Attribute
□ BIKEWAY	
	☐ Consultant LARGE
☐ BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION-NEW	☐ Consultant MEDIUM
☐ BRIDGE CULVERT REHABILITATION	☐ Consultant SMALL
☐ BRIDGE CULVERT REPLACEMENT ☐ BRIDGE DECK REHABILITATION	☐ Over Water Replace. X-LARGE
☐ BRIDGE DECK REPLACEMENT	☐ Over Water Replace. LARGE☐ Over Water Replace. MEDIUM
☐ BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	☐ Over Water Replace. MEDIOM ☐ Over Water Replace. SMALL
☐ BRIDGE PAINTING	☐ Over Water Replace. X-SMALL
☐ BRIDGE RAIL & CURB IMPROVEMENT	☐ Overpass Replace. LARGE
☐ BRIDGE REHABILITATION	☐ Overpass Replace. MEDIUM
□ BRIDGE REMOVAL	☐ Rehab X-LARGE
☐ BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	☐ Rehab LARGE
☐ BRIDGE SUBSTRUCTURE REHAB.	☐ Rehab MEDIUM
☐ BRIDGE SUPERSTRUCTURE REPLACE	
☐ BRIDGE WEARING SURFACE REPLACE	
☐ BRIDGE WIDENING	☐ Paint COMPLEX
☐ TEMPORARY BRIDGE	☐ Other (explain)
☐ Other (explain)	

October 2003 1-5

Bridge Information Form

Estimated Quantities		
Volume of Abutment ConcreteCF		
Volume of Pier Concrete Concrete		
Volume of Rigid Frame Concrete		
Volume of Structural Slab Concrete CF		
Total Length of Concrete Beams/Girders FT		
Weight of Structural Steel LB		
Weight of Bituminous on Bridge LB		
Weight of Substructure Rebar LB		
Weight of Superstructure Rebar LB		
Buried Structure Type		
☐ Structural Steel Pipe or Pipe Arch		
☐ Structural Steel Plate Arch or Frame with CIP Foot	•	
☐ Structural Steel Frame with Metal Footings or Bottom Plate		
☐ Structural Aluminum Pipe or Pipe Arch		
☐ Structural Aluminum Plate Arch or Frame with CIP Footings		
☐ Structural Alum. Frame with Metal Footings or Bottom Plate		
Precast Concrete Frame on Concrete Footings		
☐ Precast Concrete Box		
Cast-in-Place Rigid Frame or Arch		
☐ Plastic Pipe		
☐ Other (explain)		
Compared to the Toma (Duissans Land Committee Mann	h a va	
Superstructure Type (Primary Load-Carrying Mem	-	
☐ Steel - Rolled Beam ☐ Steel - Wolded Constant Donth Girder	☐ Suspension	
☐ Steel - Welded Constant Depth Girder☐ Steel - Welded Haunched Girder	□ Cable-Stayed □ Steel - Through Truss	
☐ Steel - Weided Hauriched Girder ☐ Steel - Rolled Beam and Welded Girder	☐ Steel - Pony Truss	
☐ Steel - Welded Box Girder	☐ Steel - Polly Truss	
☐ Precast Prestressed Voided Slab	☐ Timber - Through Truss	
☐ Precast Prestressed Volded Slab	☐ Timber - Through Truss	
□ Precast Prestressed NotWorded Stab	☐ Timber - Pony Truss ☐ Timber - Deck Truss	
☐ Precast Prestressed Butted Box Beam	☐ Timber - Deck Huss	
☐ Precast Prestressed New England Bulb Tee	☐ Timber - Solid Sawn Beam	
☐ Precast Prestressed AASHTO I Girder	☐ Timber - Glulam Beam	
☐ CIP Concrete - Slab	☐ Timber - Glulam Direct Span	
☐ CIP Concrete - T-Beam	☐ FRP Reinf. Glulam Beam	
☐ CIP Concrete - Open Spandrel Arch	☐ Other (explain)	
☐ Post-Tensioned Concrete - Segmental Box	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
□ Inverset		
Wearing Surface Type		
☐ Bituminous with Membrane Waterproofing	□ Rosphalt	
☐ Bituminous with HP Membrane Waterproofing	☐ Timber	
☐ Concrete - Integral	☐ Other (explain)	
☐ Concrete - Unreinforced	,	

October 2003 1-6

Bridge Information Form

Deck Type	
☐ CIP Concrete	☐ Timber
☐ CIP Concrete with Precast Deck Panels	☐ Glulam
☐ Precast Concrete	☐ Other (explain)
☐ Open Steel Grid	
☐ Concrete-Filled Steel Grid	Composite Deck Design
☐ Orthotropic	□ Yes
□ Exodermic	□ No
Bridge Rail Type	
☐ 2-Bar Steel Rail	☐ Bridge-Mounted Guardrail
☐ 3-Bar Steel Rail	☐ Bridge-Mounted Thrie Beam
☐ 4-Bar Steel Rail	☐ 2-Bar Aluminum Rail
☐ 2-Bar & 4-Bar Steel Rail	☐ 4-Bar Aluminum Rail
☐ Texas Classic Concrete Rail	☐ Timber and Steel Rail
☐ Maine Concrete Rail	☐ Timber Rail
☐ Concrete Barrier	☐ Other (explain)
☐ Concrete Barrier with Mounted Steel Rail	
☐ Concrete Barrier with Mounted Aluminum Rail	
Abutment Type	Pier Type
☐ Stub Cantilever	☐ Mass
☐ Medium Cantilever (5' < Wall < 15')	☐ Pile Bent
☐ High Cantilever (Wall >15')	☐ Hammerhead
□ Mass	☐ Shaft
☐ Integral	☐ Multiple Column
□ Semi-Integral	☐ Other (explain)
☐ Other (explain)	
Abutment Foundation Type	Pier Foundation Type
☐ End-Bearing H-Pile	☐ End-Bearing H-Pile
☐ Friction H-Pile	☐ Friction H-Pile
☐ End-Bearing Pipe Pile	☐ End-Bearing Pipe Pile
☐ Friction Pipe Pile	☐ Friction Pipe Pile
□ Rock-Socketed H-Pile	☐ Rock-Socketed H-Pile
☐ Rock-Socketed Pipe Pile	□ Rock-Socketed Pipe Pile
·	•
☐ Spread Footing on Bedrock	☐ Spread Footing on Bedrock
☐ Spread Footing on Soil ☐ Drilled Shaft	□ Spread Footing on Soil□ Drilled Shaft
□ MSE Wall	□ Drilled Shaπ □ Other (explain)
☐ Other (explain)	
Comments:	

October 2003 1-6a

northern Maine is bounded by an isoseismal of A = 0.10g. Bridges located in areas where the horizontal acceleration coefficient is less than or equal to 0.09 will be assigned to Seismic Performance Category (SPC) A. Bridges located in areas where 0.09 < A < 0.19 will be assigned to SPC B. <u>AASHTO Standard Specifications</u> Division I-A has not clearly defined the location of the 0.09 isoseismal for Maine, but Figure 3-4 provides this information. In this figure, an interpretation of the location of the 0.09 isoseismal was made through information provided by the Maine Geologic Survey. In general, SPC B will require a higher level of seismic performance analysis than SPC A.

3.7.1.2 Geotechnical Characteristics of the Site

Soil conditions must be known to determine the seismic site coefficient for the bridge. In the <u>AASHTO Standard Specifications</u> Division I-A there are four soil profiles defined and a site coefficient is assigned to each profile. Additionally, potential hazards and seismic design requirements related to slope stability, liquefaction, fill settlement, and any increase in lateral earth pressures as a result of earthquake motion need to be identified. If required, the Geotechnical Designer will provide recommendations for site stabilization and design earth pressures.

3.7.1.3 Functional Importance

Bridges located on the NHS should be recognized as essential. Refer to AASHTO Standard Specifications Division I-A Section 3.3.

3.7.1.4 Major or Minor Structures

Bridges are divided into two groups based on economics. Major bridges will be defined as those with bridge construction costs in excess of \$10 million. All other bridges will be considered minor bridges.

3.7.1.5 Structure Type and Detail

Certain bridge types (e.g. multiple simple spans), or details (e.g. high rocker bearings) that are more vulnerable to earthquake damage should be avoided based on the probable severity of damage and the impact on the serviceability of the structure.

October 2003 3-11

have low speeds, good geometric characteristics, and low CRF may be considered for a decreased bridge width. When AADT<250, 22 foot bridge widths may be considered. When AADT is between 250 and 750, 24 foot bridge widths may be considered. When AADT <2000, 26 foot bridge widths may be considered.

- 5. When AADT is less than 1000, the traveled way width may be reduced to 20 feet, with bridge widths remaining at 28 feet.
- 6. When AADT is 1000-4000, the traveled way width may be reduced to 20 feet, with bridge widths reduced to 28 feet.
- 7. When AADT is 6000-8000, the traveled way width may be reduced to 22 feet, with bridge widths reduced to 34 feet.
- 8. When AADT is greater than 8000, the traveled way width may be reduced to 22 feet, with bridge widths reduced to 36 feet.
- 9. When the bridge rail and approach guard rail lengths are continuous for greater than 1000 feet on each side, and AADT is between 2000-4000, consideration should be given to widening the rail to rail width to 32 feet to minimize conflict for snowplowing operations.

October 2003 4-4

CHAPTER 5 - SUBSTRUCTURES

c. Abutment dead load

12 feet x 7.0 feet x 0.15 k/ft³ x 2.5 feet = 31.5 k
d. Pile dead load = 0.089 k/ft x 15 feet = 13.4 k
e. Secondary thermal effects = 4.0 k/feet of
abutment from Figure 5-6 = 4.0 k/feet x 7.0 feet =
$$\frac{28.0 \text{ k}}{149.9 \text{ k}}$$

Step 7. Pile design: Check pile capacity as a column.

From Figure 5-5:

HP 10 x 42 = 185 k allowable > 149.9 k
$$\frac{OK}{C}$$
 HP 14 x 89 = 405 k allowable > 149.9 k $\frac{OK}{C}$

Both piles are acceptable.

Step 8. Pile design: Piles must be capable of transferring loads to the ground.

Pile capacity for 12,500 psi (FS = 4), refer to also Table 5-6.

Capacity HP10 x 42 = 155 k (from Table 5-6) > 149.9 k
$$OK$$

Step 9. Pile design: Check live load rotation demand.

The pile stress from girder live load rotation ≤ 0.55 Fy

$$0.55 \text{ Fy} = 0.55 (50 \text{ ksi}) = 27.5 \text{ ksi}$$

a. Beam end rotation:

$$R = \frac{W \cdot L_S^2}{24 \cdot E_S \cdot I_S}$$

W = 31.3 k x 2 = 62.6 k

$$L_S$$
 = 85 feet x 12 in/ft = 1020 inches
 E_S = 29,000 ksi
 I_S = 29,750 in⁴

$$R(radians) = \frac{62.6 \cdot kips \cdot (1020 \cdot in)^{2}}{24 \cdot 29000 \cdot ksi \cdot 29750 \cdot in^{4}} = 0.0032 \cdot rad$$

b. Rotation induced moment for HP 10 x 42:

$$M = \frac{4 \cdot E_p \cdot I_p \cdot R}{L_a}$$

$$E_p = 29,000 \text{ ksi}$$

 $I_p = 71.7 \text{ in}^4$
 $R = 0.0032 \text{ radians}$

October 2003 5-36

bearing systems, as discussed in Section 10.9 Seismic Retrofit. A widened structure should be fitted with the same bearing type as that installed on the remaining structure for each substructure unit.

10.4 Expansion Devices

On a wearing surface replacement or deck rehabilitation project, the bridge expansion devices (joints) should be examined to determine their condition. The joint armor may be damaged, or the seal may be gone. The value of replacing the seal, repairing the joint armor, or replacing the entire joint should be assessed for each project. The Designer must consider the potential damage to the structure below if repairs or modifications are not made, as well as the expected life of the structure before full bridge replacement is warranted.

Often the joint must be modified or raised to accommodate the increase in grade created by additional pavement. If the joint armor is not damaged beyond repair, and a compression seal can be used, the joint should be modified by welding a round bar to the top of the joint armor. If the joint armor is damaged, the affected steel can be cut out and replaced with a new piece. Keeper bars should be added to the joint armor if not part of the existing joint configuration.

To select a new seal, field measurements must be taken to determine which manufacturer's seal will fit. The existing joint opening should be measured, along with the temperature and the location of the keeper bars if applicable. With this information, the maximum and minimum expected joint opening can be determined. The Designer should then use the manufacturer's literature from the two suppliers listed in Table 4-7 to determine the minimum installation opening and seal depth. A seal can be selected to fit within the given parameters (depth of seal, minimum installation opening, and movement rating) by using Table 4-7 Elastomeric Joint Seal Movement Ratings or the following link: http://www.state.me.us/mdot/planning/products/compressionseals.htm. The depth from top of new joint to top of seal should comply as closely as possible with the Standard Detail 520(10) minimum of 1/2".

For bridges with differential movement, excessive rotation at the joint, or if the joint space is measured and found to be uneven from one side of the bridge to the other, a gland seal may be selected instead of a compression seal.

In some cases, the existing seal type may be changed without modification of the existing joint armor. Prequalified seals listed in Section 4.8 Deck Joints and Expansion Devices should be evaluated for use inside existing joint armor.

If a prefabricated seal cannot be found to fit the existing joint armor, self-leveling joints can be considered. For the approved list of self-leveling joints refer to the following link to the MaineDOT product approval web page:

October 2003 10-6

Composite fiberglass/epoxy wrapping: This technique involves an FRP fabricated on-site and wrapped around the column. When the FRP cures, the system confines the column.

- 3. Concrete jacketing: This involves the addition of a thick layer of reinforced concrete.
- 4. External hoops: This technique uses external hoops that are tensioned around columns using turnbuckles.

10.10 Buried Structures

MaineDOT has hundreds of steel culverts that are considered minor spans or bridges. Many of these steel culverts are reaching the end of their design life of 45 to 55 years. Instead of culvert replacement, another option to consider is culvert rehabilitation. MaineDOT began rehabilitating culverts in the early 1990s.

If culvert rehabilitation is a feasible option, the final decision to rehabilitate or replace usually depends upon one of the following issues:

- o Maintenance of traffic
- o Right-of-Way impacts
- Utility impacts
- o Environmental impacts, including fish passage (short & long term)
- o Constructability
- Maintenance
- o Cost (first cost and life cycle)

10.10.1 Invert Lining

Culvert invert lining consists of placing a minimum of 5 inches of reinforced concrete in the bottom and sides of a pipe or pipe arch that has a rusted or missing bottom. The Contractor has the option of using shotcrete or cast in place concrete. The top of the concrete invert lining should extend a minimum of 6 inches above the limit of the rust line or the proposed location of shear studs, whichever is higher. The estimated life for a concrete invert lining is about 25 years.

Culvert invert lining is a feasible alternative if all of the following statements are true:

October 2003 10-21