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## Anadromous Rainbow Smelt:

## A Species of Concern in the Gulf of Maine

Commercial harvest of rainbow smelt in ME and NH


Once abundant from Chesapeake Bay northward, now struggling south of Maine waters


## How do we understand more about the decline?

- Enhance understanding of rainbow smelt in Gulf of Maine, with particular focus on spawning populations
- Describe watershed conditions and habitats which support water quality needed for successful spawning
- Characterize spawning populations and develop local mortality and population estimates

Use these results to inform the regional conservation plan to protect smelt in the Gulf of Maine

## Population characteristics



Fyke nets set at index sites during the spring spawning run annually

Compare among sites:

- Catch per unit effort (CPUE)
- Length distribution
- Sex ratios
- Age structure
- Annual survival rates
- Instantaneous mortality

But can we use the raw catch data for mortality estimates???

## Repeat Spawning Behavior

## Why does it matter?

Murawski and Cole (1978) found higher instantaneous mortality rates using age cohort movement through time compared to mean length over time

| Proportion of total catch in each age class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  |  |  |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2008 | $25.3 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | $0.9 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2010 | $72.4 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |

Mast Landing 2008
Proportion of Total Catch at Each CM Class by Age


Mast Landing 2009
Proportion of Total Catch at Each CM Class by Age


## Evidence of Repeat Spawning in Smelt

- Males have a longer physiological spawning period
- Multiple males attending to one female increases fertility success
- Historical mark and recapture studies found same male at the same and different spawning sites within a given year



## Sex Ratios as Evidence of Repeat Spawning

The repeat spawning problem identified by skewed sex ratio


## 2008 Season: Sex Ratio Comparison

|  | Harraseeket River: <br> Spawning Season | Harraseeket River: <br> Summer Trawl | Casco Bay: Fall Trawl <br> Survey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proportion of <br> females | $14.6 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ |

# Quantifying Repeat Spawning Behavior in the Harraseeket River 



Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) systems using solar power monitor movement into and out of the spawning grounds 24/7 for 10 weeks March - May fully encompassing the spawning season

## Study Site: Mast Landing,

 Head of Tide of the Harraseeket River, Freeport, MaineHarrasseket River Study Site:
Locations of Antennas, Net Placement, Release Location, and Spawning Grounds


## Assessing Repeat Spawning: Methods

Smelt caught as part of Maine DMR's spawning survey

- Fyke net placed upstream of PIT antennas 3 x week for 10 weeks March - May fully encompassing the spawning season
- Smelt are sexed and measured
- Up to 60 smelt per week are tagged with a 23 mm PIT tag depending on sex and age as determined by length
- 10 males and 10 females of each Age Class:
- Age 1 ( $<169 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- Age 2 ( 170 mm -209mm)
- Age 3+ (>210mm)
- Up to 600 smelt tagged each season
- Each PIT tagged smelt also receives a Visible Implant Elastomer (VIE) external mark for easy identification Study repeated annually 2009-2012


## Solar Powered Half-Duplex Antenna System

- Radio Frequency Identification (RFID)
- Half-Duplex signals charge each tag, pause and wait for a response
- Full Duplex Systems listen continuously
- Half Duplex system more tolerant of tidal conditions, small changes in shape of antennas
- Multiplexer system divides the reader's attention between four antennas, dividing the read rate but using less power
- Antennas are made of welding wire looped around sections of the river
-> Powers
Solar Power


12V Batteries

-> Powers Reader and Multiplexer
-> Powers Four Antennas


## Solar Powered Half-Duplex Antenna System

## Challenges:

- Antennas do not have the same shape
- Extremely large system
- Tidal and temperature changes
- Ice and heavy spring flows
- Continuous cloudy days may cause power shortage



## Preliminary Results: Antenna Performance



- Antenna efficiency calculated using number of 'missed' detections with number of total number of detections System Efficiency: 82.5\% in 2009; 82.1\% in 2010
- Many times the after-spawning descent was missed fast movement downstream with the tide? Fishing?


## Performance Differs Between Antennas 2009 2010

Comparison of total number of consecutive detections between all antennas


Number of Consecutive Detections by Each Antenna


- Antenna performance measured by the consecutive number of detections by each antenna


## Return Frequency by Gender and Year

## Males returned more often than females in both 2009 and 2010

## 2009 Females:

Return Frequency by Proportion of Total Tagged


2010 Females:
Return Frequency by Proportion of Total Tagged


2009 Males:
Return Frequency by Proportion of Total Tagged


2010 Males:
Return Frequency by Proportion of Total Tagged
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## Sample Composition and Return Frequency

- The size range was much larger in 2010
- Probable Age 2 males seem to return more often ( $>4$ times)

| Sample Composition: Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| Sample Size | F | M | F | M |
| Average Length | 48 | 95 | 41 | 70 |
| Minimum Length | 183.46 | 172.49 | 180.78 | 170.54 |
| Maximum Length | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | 152 | 127 | 128 |
| Total Number of Fish Returning >1 | 206 | 227 | 256 | 225 |

2009: Number of Returns by Sex and Length
2010: Number of Returns by Sex and Length


## Likelihood of Repeat Spawning by Gender

- The probability of returning two or more times is significantly less for females than males
- Logistic Regression: 2009 Prob>Chi Square $=0.0366<0.05$

$$
2010 \text { Prob }>\text { Chi Square }<0.001 \ll 0.05
$$

2009: Probability of Return by Sex
2010: Probability of Return by Sex



## Are All Returns Repeat Spawning?

- The majority of 1st returns occurred the night of tagging
$\longrightarrow$ Interruption of normal spawning behavior Exclude the first return from analyses

| Proportion of Fish Returning the 1st Night After Tagging |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Returned <br> 1st Night <br> After <br> Tagging | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male |
|  | 100\% | 88\% | 100\% | 76\% |

## Revised Return Frequency

2009: Number of Returns by Sex and Length


2010: Number of Returns by Sex and Length


- When first return is excluded, repeat spawning is almost exclusively a male phenomena, dominated by probable Age 2 males
- One female returned $2 x$ in 2010


## Where do we go from here?

- The rate of repeat spawning may vary by year and dominant age class
- Replicate study 2009-2012
- Confirm ages of tagged fish
- Develop age specific repeat spawning rates
- Replicate study at another site
- Compare repeat spawning rates by sex and age
- Use data combined from multiple years to establish age specific repeat spawning rates
- Tune mortality estimates
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## Questions?



# Claire Enterline, Maine Dept. of Marine Resources, PO Box 8, West Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575 (207) 633-9566; Claire.Enterline@maine.gov 
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