

York, Marylisa

From: Randy Paine <rbpaine1963@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 9:08 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning

I am letting you know I am against CMPs corridor because of the damage to the environment it will bring. Their plan is not good for Maine in any way.

Thank you for your time

Randall Paine FAYETTE ME

York, Marylisa

From: Rachel Swanson <rswanson1212@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 6:43 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: Cmp

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

The current proposal from CMP is obsolete. New Hampshire and Vermont have also rejected the proposal. With today's technology other options are available. Off shore wind farms, solar power and turbines in the ocean. CMP is trying to convince Mainers that this is necessary.

This is nothing more than COOPERATE greed.
CMP cant correct the billing errors and Mainers are supposed to trust them to undertake such a large project.
CMP is so sure this project that parcels of land have already been purchased.

Then there the land and wildlife impact.

Why is it Maine's responsibility to furnish electricity to Massachusetts?

Rachel Swanson,
Lewiston

York, Marylisa

From: Joe DeSanctis <doeyje3@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 5:16 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

What in the world are you people doing? You need to start listening to the voters of Maine! As a long time resident of Jay Maine and many others who do not want that powerline in this state and have blatantly let our state government know this... it is a no brainer to put your foot down and put a halt to this program once and for all! Please do this for the environment of this wonderful state, we do not need to line the pockets of politicians and the people that are going to rake in the dollars from this project including CMP while they do nothing to change my outrageous over-billing STILL from 2 winters ago ! The state of Massachusetts can find alternative options for their power including the use of ocean currents and windmills. Instead they'd rather just use us as a shortcut and quick fix at Mainer's expense, WHO disagree! Please do not allow CMP this permit that they are looking for to ruin our lands and state thank you. Brent DeSanctis

Sent from my iPhone

York, Marylisa

From: Robert Strusz <rteleman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 4:24 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Beyer
Maine DEP
State House Station #17
Augusta, ME 04333

Dear Jim Cmp shouldn't get a permit they do not deserve it. They greed will ruin our beautiful environment . Thank you Bob

York, Marylisa

From: Edward Muzeroll <eamuzer57@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:23 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am begging you to stop this project!!
There is no benefit to Maine!!
I have seen these eyesores in the Gaspé and they are simply Maine does not need!!
Edward Muzeroll

Sent from my iPhone

York, Marylisa

From: Peter <Insurance@maine.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:18 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not issue a permit for the corridor.
Massachusetts can figure clean energy another way; off shore windmills, solar, tidal turbines, nuclear, etc.
Do not destroy 1 tree for this project, please.

Peter
Gorham Me

Sent from my iPhone

York, Marylisa

From: Robert Woodbury <bob.mare4@myfairpoint.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 4:55 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP "Corridor"

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

It's not a corridor – it's a clear cut. And when they talk about those 3,700 jobs being creating – it should read “.....3,700 temporary jobs”.

There are so many holes in their carbon emission claims I hardly know where to begin. Remember those environmental studies they refused to allow? I'll let that speak for itself. And all those tons of emissions they say they're going to remove? Have they allowed for the tons of emissions that will not be removed by the trees they remove in the clear cut that stretches the entire length of their proposed “corridor”. And those supposed rate cuts – how can anyone believe any of that when their present meters are shown to be inaccurate, plus they propose to cut electricity off to those with inaccurate bills?

What about our native brook trout? CMP's ads speak of a \$6.2 billion tourism industry, which ties in with our native brook trout, since fishermen come from all over the world to fish for those beautiful fish. Have you ever held one in your hands with the sun gleaming off those magnificent markings?

Maine has 97% of the countries native brook trout – brook trout that have been in their native habitat since the ice age tens of thousands of years ago, and that habitat without intrusion by other fish species during that entire time. Ninety-seven percent. That's a huge conservation responsibility for the State of Maine.

They have said they will go around a trout pond on our western border. They have said they will tunnel under the Kennebec River in a place that is practically all granite, stone used in building construction. I understand they will be crossing about 200-plus brooks, streams and rivers containing brook trout.

I can hear their “state-of-the-art” pollution control arguments now. It's a great phrase and it sounds really good. And it's probably true. Until, in practicality, it proves not to be. At which point, the explanations begin with “...we weren't counting on....”. Also, probably true. But the damage has been done.

Thousands of brook trout will, not might, will be killed under the best of circumstances. Since those trout are dead, they will not procreate. Hundreds of thousand more will never be born.

CMP could do the right thing - go around. Off shore. Underwater. It's done every day. It's how Maine's islands survive. New Brunswick to Massachusetts. Directly.

Bob Woodbury

16 Poulin Street

Winslow, Maine 04901

207-873-1943

bob.mare4@myfairpoint.net

A member of the Maine Basketball Hall of Fame as a Legend, and a member of the Thomas College Athletic Hall of Fame.

Founding member of the Kennebec Valley Chapter of Trout Unlimited, Maine's largest chapter, the only chapter in the country that has received TU's most prestigious award, the Golden Trout Award, twice. The chapter is also a member of the National Freshwater Hall of Fame. KVCTU was the original driving force in the removal of the Edwards Dam on the Kennebec River, the first dam in the country removed against the owner's wishes. Several dams in that same category have been, and continue to be, removed across the country today.

York, Marylisa

From: David Palmlund <palmlund@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 11:02 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Maine EPA,

I am writing to express my concern about the CMP corridor because:

1. It will have a severe environmental impact by permanently altering a large stretch of relatively undisturbed habitat and migration routes. Building it will be damaging to the environment, its mere existence will be damaging, and maintaining it will be damaging. This will be particularly felt by watersheds where wild salmon and brook trout populations are still doing relatively well (this cannot be said of ANY other state in the contiguous US). Runoff and chemical pollution from building and maintaining the corridor WILL get into these watersheds. This project comes with a huge environmental cost.
2. If we are going to take on a huge environmental burden, that's fine, but then Maine needs to be properly compensated for this, which it's not. NY, NH, and VT all turned down deals that were BETTER THAN THE ONE OFFERED MAINE. Do they think Mainer's are dumb? If it wasn't good for those other states, then why are we settling for even less? The amount offered in reduction of utility expenses are pennies tossed on the floor. Further, the jobs created are not even close to significant enough.
3. This project brings electricity to, and primarily benefits, Massachusetts who have repeatedly shot down green energy proposals in their own state. If they wanted green energy so bad, they they could have approved several projects, including the offshore wind project off Nantucket. Instead they don't want it in their back yard. They have already messed up their own forested regions with roads, power lines, etc. and now they want to do that to Maine? They have land, and water, on which to put up wind farms.
4. Mainer's don't want this regardless of the offer. I haven't met a single Mainer who thinks this is a good idea. Only some politicians support it and they are likely benefiting somehow by doing so. In fact, I bet most would be happy paying a \$5 a month increase in utility expenses to keep this project from happening. That's only \$60 a year. How much is CMP offering?

In summary, Maine harbors much of the remote land left on the eastern seaboard. Most other eastern states have already severely compromised their wild places. Now we will do that here too? When people travel from those states with now limited wild areas to enjoy our wilderness areas? This is a horrible plan for Maine. I urge you, the Environmental Protection Agency, to protect Maine's environment.

Thank you,

David Palmlund
207.232.9636

York, Marylisa

From: Walter Lamb <jmlwwl@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 10:46 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Coroder

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings All,

Being the fact that SO MANY Maine people have voiced their opinion by signing petitions, My Opinion is NO MORE PERMITS SHOULD BE ISSUED until after Election Day!!! My thought is, if a permit is issued now, that would allow work to begin before Election Day! Then, if the people vote it down, and work has all ready begun, THEN WHAT???

No way should "Big Corporate Money" be allowed to over ride the VOTE, and the WILL OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MAINE!!!

This Project is more of a benefit to "Big Industry" than it is to the State of Maine!!!

Would you please consider extending your vote another thirty days!

Respectful,
Walter Lamb
A petition signer
Windham

Sent from my iPhone

York, Marylisa

From: Chris Jones <christopherjjones3@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 8:12 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern,

It is my firm belief as a Maine citizen, taxpayer and CMP ratepayer that if your organization is indeed charged with the protection of our environment, it WILL NOT grant CMP the required permit for its corridor.

Thank you,
Chris Jones

York, Marylisa

From: pvsam1@twc.com
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 3:02 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Afternoon,

I believe the corridor is a bad idea for Maine. I have hiked the region where clear cutting is suggested. The area is pristine Maine and needs to be kept that way. This state is a refuge for nature lovers and this area is the epitome for us.

Already we have seen a decrease in bird numbers and clear cutting this area will add to the numbers lost. I came back home after 30 years of serving my country as an AD USAF and as a DoD worker. I love my state with it's forests, streams/rivers, ocean and mountains.

Please do not disrupt the eco system of the great state of Maine with this corridor. Clear cutting now means destroying what we all love about our state.

Please consider all creatures of our state and listen to the Maine people.

Thank you

Valerie S Hudspath, Major (retired) USAF

York, Marylisa

From: weigelt1@myfairpoint.net
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 10:36 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP CORRIDOR

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Beyer:

In regard to the CMP request for a permit to go ahead with their ill-advised corridor project, I request that you further consider the destruction to the woods and waters of the State of Maine - a scar that would last forever!

The will of the people of the State of Maine has been frustrated for years by out-of-state entities that have no interest or respect for the people, the land or our way of life!

Seventy-five thousand people can't be wrong!

Sincerely,
Robert Weigelt
PO Box 335
Jackman, ME 04945
668-5611

York, Marylisa

From: Robert Donovan <bobdoorguy@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 10:27 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings

I am writing to let you know that I am in favor of the proposed power line corridor.

I believe the benefits curbing climate change property tax to affected communities jobs, etc.

will outweigh the negative effects. The greater good will be served by allowing the new line.

Respectfully yours

Robert Donovan
Embden Maine

York, Marylisa

From: Aishton Richard <richardaishton@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 2:31 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: cmp corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear MDEP,

I wanted to ask whether you are actually going to practice ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (it is part of your department's title) or whether you are simply going to see if CMP has checked all of its boxes?

I cannot imagine how any of you could make an informed decision on a project of this magnitude without the benefit of information from an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Vermont and New Hampshire both conducted one for their respective corridor proposals. And Maine, why are we NOT conducting one? Don't you really care about how this looks to the people of Maine? Do you care about your departmental and individual responsibilities as professionals? Or is this simply a matter of caving to big money coming from Spain? Anyone who has the slightest knowledge and experience in natural resource management knows that there are serious problems associated with the NECEC, not the least of which is hiding the truth. Will you really step and do your job? (which means to require an EIS before you issue a permit). Your decision will tell me all I need to know.

Richard W. Aishton, Ph.D.
Environmental Dynamics Analyst
Farmington, Maine 04938

York, Marylisa

From: cpratt14@tds.net
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 1:02 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: cmp corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am a retired Electrical Eng. that worked for a Company that Sold measurement equipment,control systems and Computer Systems world wide to Utility companies,both fossil and nuclear.
Central Maine Power was by far one of the most competent and qualified power companies I had the pleasure of serving and working with. The Smart Meter fiasco never would have happened if the original CMP was in place,they would have written a spec.and consulted an outside Eng. Co. it needed and visited existing users sites.
I truly believe that the Spanish CO. that bought CMP saw this golden opportunity to Sell Quebec power to Mass.,by providing a physical path for a unbelievable profit. They certainly did everything possible to downgrade every aspect of this once very well managed and operating Utility.
Judging their recent operating decisions just imagine the forever environmental total disregard that likely would result to one of our very scarce wonders of nature,they could turn this treasure into a ugly scene and all we do is watch and cry.
Even though they has seen fit to financially reward some of our decision makers,they have not won the trust and respect of the general public.
Please oppose this corridor,as NH smartly did.
Thank you for considering our just concern.
Carlton Pratt

York, Marylisa

From: Wayne Stevens <swayne6513@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 5:25 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Construction and maintenance of the proposed corridor will create a gash in our environment that will never be allowed to heal. More carbon emissions will be released than it purports to remedy. Herbicides will be used to keep the understory at bay because it's easier and so much more cost effective even though they pollute ground water, streams and rivers. Not to mention the toxicity to already dwindling birds and insects. This proposal is a terrible idea and must NOT be allowed to happen. Our state is one of the last bastions of nature at its most pristine. Once done it can never recover. Thank you. NO CORRIDOR!

York, Marylisa

From: Canda Tinkelenberg <seableeb@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 3:12 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not issue a permit to CMP to build their corridor through Maine. It would permanently destroy our beautiful forests. Once it is done there is no going back.

There is no benefit in this corridor for Maine. I know that CMP is offering a small sum of money to the state. That certainly won't replace the forest and the wetlands. This corridor is only going to move electricity from one country to another. It will not have a positive effect on climate change.

CMP and Hydro Quebec, two foreign companies, will make millions of dollars out of destroying the forests of this state.

Thank You

Canda tinkelenberg
Durham, Maine

York, Marylisa

From: Michelle Lucey <islandlu.ml@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 3:39 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

It is a very bad idea to allow CMP to build the corridor through Western Maine. I urge you to vote this down.
Michelle Lucey

York, Marylisa

From: Peter Beckett <pcbeckett@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 8:18 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Beyer
Maine DEP
State House Station #17
Augusta, ME 04333

I am writing in favor of the CMP corridor for the following reasons:

1. We need to be acting as fast as we can to eliminate fossil fuels from our electricity generation plants. Global warming is real and needs to be tackled aggressively. It is a global issue that has to be tackled locally.
2. If this corridor was to bring clean energy to Maine I think those who oppose it would be a lot fewer.
3. Hydro power is easily turned on and off 24 hours a day unlike other clean energy sources which rely on wind and sun which has unpredictable down times but do have a role to play.
4. I would imagine that sometime in the future Maine will be able to tap into this power.
5. I can understand some people being against this who may live near the line but they must realize that most of us live with electric power lines somewhere near us, that the way we all get electricity and that is the price we have to pay. Nothing is free..
6. People have mentioned that they are concerned that the power that MA will be getting is not from newly created sources and the power will be diverted from other sources thus having no new clean energy. I think that this issue is best left to the ultimate customer to decide which I believe that they are looking into and not for the Maine regulators to take a position on...

I am not affiliated with any company or group, for or against this corridor.

Sincerely

Peter Beckett
2526 Sennebec Road
Appleton, ME 04862

York, Marylisa

From: Jacqueline Davidson <jldavidson8@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 7:55 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please DO NOT approve the proposed CMP corridor that would deliver power from Quebec to Massachusetts which would destroy large areas of Maine wilderness while providing no benefits to the citizens of Maine. It is time to convert to renewable forms of energy like wind and solar. It is time to stop using hydropower and other forms of energy that require massive power lines to deliver power to distant customers.

Thank you,
Jacqueline Davidson
Deer Isle, Maine

York, Marylisa

From: Maryann Holme <holmemaryann@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 3:34 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I stand with these environmental groups including Trout Unlimited, Natural Resources Council of Maine, and Appalachian Mountain Club because CMP's corridor a bad deal for Maine's environment and economy.

I believe CMP is only interested in their bottom line not the people of Maine.
Mary Ann and Ray Holme
Waterford Maine

York, Marylisa

From: Bruce Gagnon <brucekgag@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 2:15 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Jim Beyer

Maine DEP

State House Station #17
Augusta, ME 04333

CMP shouldn't get a permit to build the corridor because it's a bad deal for Maine's environment and economy.

Don't authorize this corporate boondoggle that will do more harm than good.

Bruce Gagnon

7 McKeen St

Brunswick

York, Marylisa

From: Randall Hodge <rdhcam@twc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 2:11 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,
Dear Sirs and Madams,

In writing to you I can only think back to previous experiences I have had with similar agencies in another state. The facility I recollect was emitting benzene into the air and was in fact owned by a physician of some stature in the community. Come to find out the go to person within that state's version of the DEP was asked to look the other way in lieu of profiting in some form or fashion. They had all the data and facts and chose to not do the right thing. The gentleman retired and his replacement held the company's feet to the fire and made them clean it up. I can only hope and pray that you look at CMP with an unbiased scrutiny that puts longterm environmental concerns before short term corporate gain and greed. Ask yourselves why did New Hampshire and Vermont deny CMP from doing what they want to do in Maine. Lastly don't forget whatever is done in haste today could take decades to undo tomorrow. Thank you and please say no to Creating More Pollution.

Regards,

Randall Hodge
Jay, ME

York, Marylisa

From: Engle Yokley <yellow@paintingjoy.me>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 1:58 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To DEP,

CMP shouldn't get a permit to build the corridor because it's a bad deal for Maine's environment and economy. I urge you not to give them the permit and keep the special interests out of our beloved state.

Clara,
Thomaston

York, Marylisa

From: elainahatsis <elainahatsis@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 12:06 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

The DEP should not issue the CMP a permit to build the CMP Corridor. Environmental groups agree that it would be a disaster for our pristine environment in that area and it would be a disaster for our economy because the tourists would not go to that area once it has been spoiled.

Thank you,

Elaina Hatsis

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

York, Marylisa

From: Dan Beetz <dbeetz@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:50 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm having a difficult time understanding how Maine stands to gain from this corridor. The present corridor which CMP hopes to expand runs a few hundred yards from my home. The land beneath the power lines is still a mess years after its construction. The crews that cleared the original corridor and put up the original lines were specialists as they should be. I didn't see anyone working then who was even remotely local. I've also read that Hydro Quebec power that will pass through Maine is used presently in Southern Ontario and Northern New York state. What will they use to generate their electrical needs? And Maine is downwind of them. Massachusetts rate payers are simply willing to pay more. I doubt that they would allow power lines to bring clean energy from, say Rhode Island, through their state with such minimal benefit to them. New Hampshire employed the same logic when they refused Hydro Quebec's offer. Currently CMP is carpet bombing the state with lots of "green" promises that this will somehow make our lives better, cleaner, greener. I wish that they would take their advertising budget and use it to lower my electrical rates and work on their crumbling infrastructure. We have a wood stove and lots of candles to attest to their inability to deliver dependable service. Please do not trust them to do anything with this project but make profits for people who don't even live here and leave a scar across Maine.

York, Marylisa

From: Michael Uhl <michaeljohnx@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:33 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Writing to voice my opposition to granting CMP a permit for the proposed corridor.. everything I read about it makes me disbelieve this deal is in the interest of Maine rate payers, not to mention the negative environmental impact.. and the fact that those folks living in areas where the corridor will pass are all but universally opposed to it.

What really offends me in this campaign is the degree I've been bombarded with emails and on Facebook with pro-corridor advocacy by industry trade associations representing CMP and other parties with financial interests in Quebec and Maine,

--

Michael Uhl, PhD
Walpole, Maine

York, Marylisa

From: Jon Olsen <joliyoka@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:28 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Beyer
Maine DEP
State House Station #17
Augusta, ME 04333

March 18, 2020

Dear Mr. Beyer,

As one long engaged in environmental issues, I certainly favor the transition away from fossil fuels to green, alternative methods of generation electricity. I worked in the solar field in Hawai'i for the better part of 20 years, before returning to family property here in Maine. On the surface, it would appear that the CMP corridor empowers just such a direction. But that is an illusion. The flooding of scores if not hundreds of square miles of rural Quebec, drowning the trees, is NOT a green thing to do, nor is putting a long line of poles and poisoning the surrounding space to suppress weeds. Rotting trees produce methane, reportedly up to 80 times worse than CO2 for the atmosphere!

A far better solution would be to harness the enormous flood of tidal water that happens daily in Maine and eastern Canada. THAT, combined with using existing corridors, would be something to behold and support. I also fully support the Seth Berry bill to buy out CMP from its parent Spanish parent company with Maine bonds to be paid back over time by rate-payers. Please deny this extremely unpopular proposal of the CMP corridor.

Jon Olsen, Jefferson former co-chair of the Maine
Green Independent Party

York, Marylisa

From: Lee Cooper <rleecooper2737@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:17 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Breyer

I am writing to add my voice to the many who do not support the approval of CMP's corridor project.

First of all, the environmental impact of the construction and the ongoing visual impact of the lines cannot be undone. Maine has a unique environment in the north woods that needs protecting.

Secondly, CMP is using Canadian hydropower, which is continuing to damage their environment, even though there are no fossil fuels. This power is being delivered to to Massachusetts and the New England grid, that is not anywhere near capacity and has considerable room for conservation and local alternatives.

Finally, CMP has prove. To be a horrible partner for the Maine community. They have missed payments owed to the state previously, had multiple rate payer violations and used rate increases to fund infrastructure improvements that are still antiquated. All for the benefit of a foreign corporation's stockholders.

Please follow NH's lead and do not approve this project.

Thanks,
Lee Cooper, Georgetown ME

"Hang onto your hat. Hang onto your hope. And wind the clock, for tomorrow is another day."

E. B. White, 1973

York, Marylisa

From: Jesse B <brestlej@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 11:17 AM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP CORRIDOR

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Beyer,

I was just writing to express my disapproval of the CMP Corridor project. After researching the pros and cons concerning the corridor and the corporation that is behind the project I believe it would be a great disservice to the residents of Maine to approve or continue to allow this to go forth. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Jesse Brestle

York, Marylisa

From: Michael Hoch <hochmj@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2020 12:27 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP Corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Beyer,

I am writing to express my opposition to the CMP Corridor. I do not feel that this is in the best interest of Maine's wildlife and environment. More importantly, the people of Maine do not want this project. The project is for the benefit of business, not for the people of Maine.

Respectfully,

Michael Hoch
Kennebunk, ME

York, Marylisa

From: Rosanne Chessie <robertchessie@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 7:38 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: CMP corridor

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening

I would like to take a minute of your time for you to read this, I hope that you will consider the opinions of Mainers and the environment that will be affected by cmps proposed corridor and how it will affect Maine's northern woods and waters. By not giving them a permit you can help protect Maine's wilderness thank you from many Mainers Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ann Moreau](#)
To: [DEP, NECEC](#)
Subject: energy corridor
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 4:32:40 PM

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I have not been able to get info on impact in Canada.
Is giving us power cutting off others in Canada, especially the native American tribes?

How much of a saving in greenhouses is it? Measurable?

Is there another way not to break up this territory?

I appreciate the efforts made to lessen impact in current proposal.

Thank you.

Jackie Moreau
39 Walton St #2
Portland, Me 04103