FSMA vs. GAP: A Side by Side Comparison Presented by: Leah Cook, January 2019

Records & Documentation Requirements

GAP AUDITS:	Part 1: Farm Review	
Procedures	Records	Documents
OPTION C: NO MANURE OR BIOSOLIDS USED 1-22. Policy stating that no manures or biosolids are used.	1-12. Records showing crop production areas are regularly monitored for animal presence and activity.1-13. Record of measures taken to reduce chances for animals to enter crop production areas.	1-3. Water quality assessment for irrigation water, including the following water tests: (1) per year per municipal source. (1) per yr for each ground water source. (3) per year for each surface water source.
	OPTION A: RAW MANURE	1-4. Water quality assessment for chemical application or fertigation
	1-14. Application records showing raw manure is incorporated 2 weeks before planting or 120 days before harvest.	water, including the following water tests: (1) per year per municipal source. (1) per yr for each ground water source. (3) per year for each surface water source.
	1-15. Application records showing raw manure was <u>not</u> applied on crops harvested within 120 days of application.	OPTION B: COMPOSTED MANURE
	1-16. Records of proper treatment to kill pathogens for treated manure if treated manures are used and mixed with raw manures.	1-19. Documentation that composted manures or treated biosolids have been properly treated, composted, or processed to lower pathogen levels; can include time charts, time & temperature charts, process explanations and microbial testing reports.
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	**PAGE 1 OF 2** IENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVA	FION & FODECTDY

FSMA vs. GAP: A Side by Side Comparison Presented by: Leah Cook, January 2019

Records & Documentation Requirements

GAP AUDITS:	Part 1: Farm Review	
Procedures	Records	Documents
	OPTION B: COMPOSTED MANURE	
	1-18. Application records showing only composted manure is used.	
	1-21. Analysis reports for composted manure and treated biosolids.	
	1-23. Previous land use risk assessment.	
	1-24. Soil test results for microbial contamination of any land with identified previous use risks.	
	1-25. Soil test results for microbial contamination in any flooded crop areas.	
	1-26. Map or record showing the crops grown in each production area, coded or identified to enable traceability to production area.	
Terresture Francisco	**PAGE 2 OF 2**	
QUALITY ASSIBLACE MAINE DEPARTM	IENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVA	FION & FORESTRY