



JOHN ELIAS BALDACCI
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE
MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & RURAL RESOURCES
BOARD OF PESTICIDES CONTROL
28 STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0028

ROBERT W. SPEAR
COMMISSIONER
ROBERT I. BATTEESE, JR.
DIRECTOR

2002 Ground Water Monitoring of Hexazinone

The following is a summary of the results of ground water sampling activities conducted in blueberry growing regions of the state in 2002, compared with 1994 and 1998 results. Some of the information provided is based on reports written by Tammy Gould, former pesticides planner for the Board of Pesticides Control (BPC), and Julie Chizmas, former environmental specialist for the BPC.

I. Goal

The goal of this project is to assess the quality of drinking water from private domestic wells in blueberry growing areas of Maine to determine if measures -- voluntary and regulatory -- implemented by blueberry growers are mitigating the impact of hexazinone on water quality.

II. Background

In the early spring of 1994 the Board of Pesticides Control conducted a statewide assessment to determine the impact of highly leachable pesticides on ground water in Maine. This assessment crossed a variety of agricultural and nonagricultural pesticide use sites. The most frequently detected pesticide was hexazinone, an herbicide used in the production of blueberries. Fifteen of twenty sites sampled in blueberry growing areas had detectable levels of the herbicide. Follow-up and expanded sampling of sites down gradient and within ¼ mile of active blueberry fields was conducted in the late summer of that year. Those results found detectable levels of hexazinone in 35 of 48 sites sampled.

Simultaneously that spring, a citizen petition drive was underway to cancel the registration of hexazinone. Following public hearings and lengthy discussions, the Board of Pesticides Control chose to allow continued use of the pesticide, yet directed the formation of a pesticide-specific state management plan (SMP) advisory committee to look at management options for hexazinone. The advisory committee, working closely with the Board, created the *Hexazinone State Management Plan for the Protection of Ground Water*. This pesticide-specific SMP, the only one of its kind to date in Maine, was adopted by the Board in July 1996.

In Section VII, "Monitoring" of the Hexazinone SMP, the Board of Pesticides Control is committed to conducting an assessment of private domestic wells in hexazinone use areas every four years, using the 1994 study as the benchmark. Four years was selected as the time interval to allow two, full cycles of blueberry production and hexazinone use.



III. Program Design

A. Site Selection

Fifty-three sites sampled in 1994 near blueberry growing areas were targeted for re-sampling in 1998 and 2002. Twenty of these sites were originally selected using a stratified-random sampling criteria; the remaining sites were selected using the triple-point sampling principle, whereby sites with hexazinone detections in the first round of sampling were evaluated by sampling two other sites in the same local watershed with similar geological and pesticide use characteristics as the first site.

Generally, all sites that were sampled have the following characteristics:

- ◆ the site contains a private domestic well, currently used for drinking water;
- ◆ the site was within 1/4 mile of an active blueberry field in 1994; and
- ◆ the site is down gradient or of equal elevation with the blueberry field.

B. Sample Collection and Protocol

The BPC field staff collected 49 samples during the month of March 2002. The time period was selected to coincide with the first round of sampling in 1994, and the time of year of sampling done in 1998, and to attempt sampling while water tables were at their lowest before the spring recharge.

Field staff collected samples from as many previously sampled domestic plumbing systems as possible after ensuring the water supply was not filtered. At most sites, water was collected from the tap that was allowed to run for at least ten minutes. In 2002, eight of the 49 samples were from new sites chosen when existing sites were proving difficult or impossible to sample due to various reasons such as lack of electricity to power the well or fire damage to the home housing the well. The new sites followed the old criteria of being within ¼ of a mile from an active blueberry field and at an elevation equal to or less than the field.

Well water was collected in two-950 ml amber glass jars (one jar used as a backup) and placed immediately in iced coolers. This was done to preserve the samples by maintaining the cool temperatures and preventing exposure to sunlight. Samples were delivered weekdays, except Fridays, to the University of Maine, Department of Food Science Laboratory within 96 hours of collection. Chain-of-custody procedures were observed throughout the sampling program.

C. Data Collection

The BPC staff used this opportunity to update their preprinted sample information sheets for well and site characteristics. The BPC staff also gathered longitude and latitude data, where needed, using portable, hand held global positioning system (GPS) units.

D. Analytic Methodology

The University of Maine, Department of Food Science Laboratory performed the sample analyses. Samples were analyzed for hexazinone and one of its primary metabolites using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with C-18 solid phase extraction. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.1 parts per billion (ppb). In 1998 the LOQ was 0.2 ppb. The 2002 samples were also analyzed for captan, terbacil, azinphos-methyl, phosmet, propiconazole, methoxychlor, chlorothalonil, and malathion although none of these active ingredients were detected.

E. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures

The University of Maine, Department of Food Science Laboratory maintains a QA/QC plan for the Board of Pesticides Control and the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the analysis of samples used in the enforcement of state and federal pesticide regulations. This plan is updated biennially. Duplicates of three of the 49 samples were run.

IV. **Sample Results**

In 2002, 29 of 49 samples (or 59.2%) showed detectable levels of hexazinone. Table 1 compares this percentage to the percentages in 1994 and 1998. Table 1 also displays mean and median concentrations of all of the samples analyzed per year, and the highest reading per year.

Table 1. Hexazinone Detection Rate, Mean and Median Concentration, and Highest Reading per Sampling Period			
	Spring 1994	Spring 1998	Spring 2002
Total Number of Samples Collected	20	42	49
Number of Positive Detections	15	18	29
Percentage with Positive Detections	75%	42.8%	59.2%
Mean Concentration*(ppb)	1.08	0.41	1.45
Median Concentration (ppb)	0.31	ND	0.43
Highest Reading (ppb)	5.97	2.15	11.41

*For statistical purposes only, mean concentration was calculated assuming that non detections (ND) were equal to half of the limit of quantification (LOQ).

Data collected in 1994, 1998, and 2002 is displayed below in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Maine BPC Hexazinone Detections in Private Wells (ppb)					
Well Parameters			Year (spring sampling)		
ID	Depth (ft)	Distance to Hexazinone Use Site (ft)	1994	1998	2002
03BPCG012	unknown	400	ND*	ND	NA**
05BPCG005	unknown	150	2.57	ND	2.27
05BPCG006	80	240	4.78	NA	7.86
05BPCG007	unknown	240	NA	ND	11.41
05BPCG008	unknown	300	NA	0.34	0.55
05BPCG009	212	200	NA	NA	0.15
05BPCG010	300	125	NA	NA	1.05
06BPCG026	75	75	0.09	0.30	1.68
06BPCG027	unknown	1000	ND	NA	NA
06BPCG028	16	400	0.55	0.30	ND
06BPCG030	16	125	NA	1.16	1.50
06BPCG031	100	125	NA	ND	0.16
06BPCG032	300	200	NA	NA	0.29
06BPCG047	110	0	NA	NA	3.62
08BPCG008	5	240	1.50	1.66	3.81
08BPCG009	200	350	0.30	0.14	0.74
08BPCG010	115	200	NA	2.10	1.73
08BPCG011	unknown	1000	NA	0.33	ND
08BPCG012	350	250	NA	ND	1.18
08BPCG013	500	250	NA	ND	ND
09BPCG018	40	50	5.97	2.15	2.57
09BPCG020	60	250	NA	ND	ND
09BPCG021	50	160	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG004	unknown	400	0.71	0.93	0.63
14BPCG005	20	50	ND	ND	ND
14BPCG006	13	150	0.32	ND	ND
14BPCG007	unknown	35	0.84	NA	NA
14BPCG008	143	10	0.49	ND	0.85
14BPCG009	380	45	0.25	ND	ND
14BPCG010	365	35	0.25	ND	ND
14BPCG011	140	300	2.53	0.45	5.75
14BPCG012	132	300	NA	1.52	9.24
14BPCG013	120	180	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG014	unknown	100	NA	ND	NA
14BPCG015	350	75	NA	NA	ND
14BPCG016	25	300	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG017	unknown	180	NA	NA	ND

14BPCG018	220	100	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG019	100	35	NA	NA	2.96
14BPCG020	unknown	275	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG021	200	50	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG022	unknown	175	NA	ND	ND
14BPCG023	405	125	NA	0.37	ND
14BPCG024	unknown	30	NA	0.57	6.72
14BPCG026	unknown	450	NA	1.02	0.43
14BPCG027	unknown	200	NA	0.57	NA
14BPCG040	unknown	75	NA	NA	1.22
14BPCG041	190	40	NA	NA	1.12
14BPCG042	500	0	NA	NA	ND
15BPCG016	100	230	0.18	ND	0.24
15BPCG018	208	70	ND	ND	ND
15BPCG019	125	75	NA	1.07	1.37
15BPCG020	160	100	NA	ND	0.51
15BPCG021	unknown	250	NA	1.23	4.08
16BPCG016	25	1000	ND	ND	NA

* ND=Not Detected

**NA=Not Analyzed

Of the 49 samples collected in 2002, the primary metabolite of hexazinone (hexazinone metabolite B) was detected 17 times, all below 1.71 ppb. This data was not included in Table 2 since the BPC does not have metabolite data from 1994 and 1998 for comparison. Specific 2002 hexazinone metabolite B data can be obtained by contacting the BPC at 287-2731.

V. Conclusions and Discussion

The 2002 ground water sampling results show an increased frequency of hexazinone detections and some higher concentrations of hexazinone, compared to 1998 results. All results continue to be well below the EPA Health Advisory of 400 ppb.

A possible explanation for the apparent difference in 2002 results from 1998 results is that the drought conditions Maine experienced during the 2001 growing season may have prevented hexazinone from being diluted as much as in other years. It is possible that the same amount of hexazinone (or less) was leaching into the ground water, but because there wasn't as much ground water, the concentration of hexazinone is higher.